Appl. No. 10/679,771

Response dated: June 9, 2006

Reply to Office action of May 9, 2006

## REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated May 9, 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the above claim amendments and the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 14 and 16-19, 21, 26 and 27 are pending in the present application. Claims 26 and 27 have been canceled, leaving claims 1-7, 10, 11, 14, 16-19 and 21 for consideration upon entry of the present amendments and following remarks.

## Election/Restriction

In the Restriction Requirement, the Examiner identifies two allegedly patentably distinct inventions and thus requires election of one of the inventions for prosecution on the merits. Specifically, the Examiner states that that the application contains claims directed to two patentably distinct species. The Examiner identifies Species I as a device comprising a peak angle in a range of 100° to 125°, and the refraction index is in a range from about 1.51 to 1.59. The Examiner identifies Species II as a device comprising a peak angle in a range from about 130° to about 140°, and the refraction index of the prism sheet is in a range from about 1.61 to 1.69. Species I identified by the Examiner is readable on claim 26, while Species II identified by the Examiner is readable on claims 26 and 27 have been canceled by amendment rendering the Restriction Requirement moot. Therefore, Applicants rely on the prior election to the Restriction Requirement dated July 14, 2005.

Appl, No. 10/679,771 Response dated: June 9, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 9, 2006

The requirement for restriction being the only issue raised in the present Office

Action, this paper is fully responsive to the same. The Applicants hereby reserve the

right to file a divisional application for any non-elected subject matter in this application.

In the event the Examiner has any queries regarding the presently submitted response, the undersigned respectfully requests the courtesy of a telephone conference to discuss any matters in need of attention. If there are any associated or additional charges with respect to this Response or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account No. 06-1130 maintained by Applicants' attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

Cantor Colburn LLP

 $y \angle$ 

James J. Merrick

Confirmation No. 8547

Cantor Colburn LLP
55 Griffin Road South

Bloomfield, CT 06002

Telephone: (860) 286-2929

Fax: (860) 286-0115

Date: June 9, 2006